PHILIPPINES-CHINA RELATIONS AT 45 DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC: NEW DISCOVERIES, RECENT DEVELOPMENTS, AND CONTINUING CONCERNS

Chinese Studies Journal, vol. 14 January 2021 | ISSN 0117-1933



### Chapter 10

# CHINA'S PLACE IN THE PHILIPPINES' 'BUILD, BUILD, BUILD'

Lucio Blanco Pitlo III

#### Introduction

Por the Philippines, infrastructure spending is not an option, it is an imperative. In a region of rapid growth and tremendous potentials, the race to build and rebuild infrastructure to enhance competitiveness has picked up in recent years. Owing to some advantages, China, despite being a latecomer into the fray, can have a transformative impact on the country's infrastructure space. However, political risks and security concerns pose challenges to this burgeoning role.

## Regional Infrastructure Race

Infrastructure is the second of 12 pillars that contribute to a country's competitiveness, based on annual reports issued by the World Economic Forum. In this, the Philippines is alarmingly lagging behind its neighbors. It has one of Asia's highest power rates (Lectura 2018) and one of the world's slowest internet speeds (Mercurio 2019). Its internet service ranked poorly in

terms of availability, affordability, and readiness. Because of its insularity, the country is already disadvantaged from the fast-growing connectivity taking place in mainland Southeast Asia. In fact, based on the 2019 Global Competitiveness Report (Schwab 2019), Laos already ranked better than the country in terms of infrastructure, and Cambodia already fared better in terms of information and communications technology (ICT) adoption. Singapore, Malaysia, Brunei, Thailand, and even Vietnam already attained universal access to electricity. Even Laos already surpassed the country in this metric with 97.92 percent of its total population having access to electricity compared to the Philippines' 94.86 percent.

The country has one of the poorest overall quality of infrastructure and scored lowest in logistics performance among regional countries in over eight years (Ang 2020). Indonesia, a fellow archipelago, and Vietnam, for instance, are ahead of the country in terms of quality of trade and transport-related infrastructure. In ICT, Vietnam already boasts the region's second highest fixed broadband subscriptions per 100 people. Even Cambodia has more secure servers per one million people than the country. In fact, in many respects, the Philippines is no longer competing with its more advanced insular neighbors (Singapore, Malaysia, Brunei, Indonesia) but rather with traditionally lessdeveloped CLMV (Cambodia, Laos, Myanmar, Vietnam) countries in mainland Southeast Asia with Vietnam pulling ahead. A late and slow pace, administrative bottlenecks, and intermittent top-level priority contribute to this laggard position. But it is not only that, others are simply just catching up fast.

The Philippines needs to commit to infrastructure building or risk getting left behind. Both the Philippine Development Plan 2017-2022 and the government's 10-Point Socio-Economic Agenda recognize this cross-cutting significance of infrastructure. From its inability to corner more tourist arrivals to the overconcentration of economic activities in its main island of

### Philippines-China Relations at 45 During the COVID-19 Pandemic: New Discoveries, Recent Developments, and Continuing Concerns

|              |                       |                                      |                             | Table 1. AS   | Table 1. ASEAN Countries by Infrastructure Metrics   | by Infrastruc   | ture Metrics  |                                     |                     |                                   |                     |                        |              |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------|
|              | Acce                  | Access to Electricity                | ricity                      | Investment<br>in energy<br>with private                     | Investment<br>in transport<br>with private   | Investment<br>in water and<br>sanitation                | Logistics<br>performance<br>index:                    | Global<br>Transport<br>infra (2019) | oal<br>oort<br>019) | Global<br>Utility infra<br>(2019) | bal<br>infra<br>19) | Global Infra<br>(2019) | Infra<br>.9) |
|              | Percent of pop (2018) | Percent<br>of rural<br>pop<br>(2018) | Percent of urban pop (2018) | participation<br>(current<br>USD), 2019                     | participation<br>(current USD),<br>2019  | with private<br>participation<br>(current<br>USD), 2019 | Quality of trade and transport-related infra (2018)** | Score                               | Rank                | Score                             | Rank                | Score                  | Rank         |
| Singapore    | 100                   | 100                                  | 100                         | :   | :  | :   | 4.06  | 91.7                                | 1                   | 99.2                              | ~                   | 95.4                   | 1            |
| Malaysia     | 100                   | 100                                  | 100                         | 95,030,000  | :  | :   | 3.15  | 66.4                                | 29                  | 2.68                              | 51                  | 78                     | 35           |
| Brunei       | 100                   | 100                                  | 100                         | :   | :  | :   | 2.46  | 47.8                                | 77                  | 92.3                              | 45                  | 70.1                   | 58           |
| Thailand     | 100                   | 100                                  | 100                         | 1,653,340,000   | :  | :   | 3.14  | 56.8                                | 53                  | 78.9                              | 06                  | 8.79                   | 71           |
| Indonesia    | 98.51                 | 96.81                                | 88.66                       | 290,000,000   | 2,903,430,000*   | 82,380,000  | 2.89  | 56.1                                | 55                  | 79.4                              | 68                  | 67.7                   | 72           |
| Vietnam      | 100                   | 100                                  | 100                         | 3,287,680,000   | 1,025,050,000  | 159,140,000   | 3.01  | 52.2                                | 99                  | 9.62                              | 87                  | 62.9                   | 77           |
| Laos         | 97.92                 | 97.08                                | 99.49                       | 49,000,000  | 5,700,000,000  | :   | 2.44  | 45.3                                | 87                  | 73.2                              | 26                  | 59.2                   | 93           |
| Philippines  | 94.86                 | 92.51                                | 97.51                       | 52,500,000  | 1,733,250,000  | 32,070,000  | 2.73  | 41.5                                | 102                 | 74.1                              | 96                  | 57.8                   | 96           |
| Cambodia     | 91.55                 | 88.97                                | 100                         | 100,210,000*  | :  | :   | 2.14  | 42.4                                | 96                  | 67.4                              | 107                 | 54.9                   | 106          |
| Myanmar      | 66.26                 | 54.82                                | 92.24                       | 293,000,000*  | 104,000,000*   | :   | 1.99  | :                                   | :                   | :                                 | :                   | :                      | :            |
| *2018 **1    | 1-low to 5            | -high; pop                           | (populatic                  | **I-low to 5-high; pop (population); infra (infrastructure) | ucture)  |   |   |                                     |                     |                                   |                     |                        |              |
| Source: Work | d Develop             | ment India                           | cators (Wo                  | rld Bank), 2019 (   | Source: World Development Indicators (World Bank), 2019 Global Competitiveness Report, World Economic Forum. | ess Report, Worl  | d Economic For  | .mm.                                |                     |                                   |                     |                        |              |

|              | Table   | 2. ASEAN Co  | untries by Inf                      | ormation and   | Communicati                         | Table 2. ASEAN Countries by Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Metrics (2019) | (ICT) M                       | etrics (2019  |                                    |                                      |
|--------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|              | Fixed<br>broadband<br>subscriptions   | Fixed<br>broadband<br>subscriptions<br>(per 100<br>people) | Fixed<br>telephone<br>subscriptions | Fixed<br>telephone<br>subscriptions<br>(per 100<br>people) | Mobile<br>cellular<br>subscriptions | Mobile<br>cellular<br>subscriptions<br>(per 100<br>people)                                | Secure<br>Internet<br>servers | Secure<br>Internet<br>servers<br>(per 1<br>million<br>people) | Global<br>ICT<br>adoption<br>score | Global<br>ICT<br>adoption<br>ranking |
| Singapore    | 1498100   | 25.8   | 1905800                             | 32.8   | 0029206                             | 156.4   | 698581                        | 122481.4  | 87.1                               | 5                                    |
| Brunei       | 54195   | 12.5   | 86590                               | 19.9   | 557398                              | 128.6   | 455692                        | 1683.847  | 75.4                               | 26                                   |
| Malaysia     | 2964500   | 9.3  | 6474400                             | 20.3   | 44601400                            | 139.6   | 214828                        | 6723.928  | 71.6                               | 33                                   |
| Vietnam      | 14802380  | 15.3   | 3658005                             | 3.8  | 136230406                           | 141.2   | 250511                        | 2596.989  | 69                                 | 41                                   |
| Thailand     | 10108819  | 14.5   | 2614000                             | 3.8  | 129614000                           | 186.2   | 97741                         | 1403.809  | 60.1                               | 62                                   |
| Cambodia     | 184379  | 1.1  | 56445                               | 0.3  | 21418681                            | 129.9   | 2626                          | 159.2814  | 55.4                               | 71                                   |
| Indonesia    | 9411805   | 3.5  | 9477137                             | 3.5  | 345025155                           | 127.5   | 455692                        | 1683.847  | 55.4                               | 72                                   |
| Philippines  | 4178822   | 3.9  | 4165209                             | 3.9  | 167322432                           | 154.8   | 12035                         | 111.315   | 49.7                               | 88                                   |
| Laos         | 76280   | 1.1  | 1490831                             | 20.8   | 4362183                             | 8.09  | 224                           | 31.24366  | 44.2                               | 102                                  |
| Myanmar      | 129050*   | 0.2*   | 520863*                             | *6.0   | 61143964*                           | 113.8*  | 663                           | 12.26746  | :                                  | ·                                    |
| *2018        |   |  |                                     |  |                                     |   |                               |   |                                    |                                      |
| Source: Wor. | Source: World Development Indicators (World Bank), 2019 Global Competitiveness Report, World Economic Forum | Indicators (Worl   | d Bank), 2019 G                     | dobal Competitiv   | eness Report, Wor                   | ld Economic Foru  | ım.                           |   |                                    |                                      |

| Table 3. Projected Tr<br>Select | ansport Infra<br>ed ASEAN C |            | vestment of |  |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|--|
| (in Us                          | SD billion at 20            | 15 prices) |             |  |
|                                 | 2015                        | 2020       | 2025        |  |
| Indonesia 24 31 40              |                             |            |             |  |
| Vietnam 6 11 17                 |                             |            |             |  |
| Malaysia 4 6 7                  |                             |            |             |  |
| Singapore                       | 4                           | 5          | 6           |  |
| Thailand                        | 6                           | 4          | 5           |  |
| Philippines                     | 3                           | 4          | 6           |  |

Source: Oxford Economics (PricewaterhouseCoopers 2017); https://www.pwc.com/sg/en/publications/assets/cpi-mas-1-infrastructure-opporuntities-in-asean-201709.pdf

Luzon, inadequate infrastructure limits the country's potentials. Not only will it constrain growth going forward, it will also erode the country's competitiveness relative to its peers.

Prof. Alvin Ang, director of Ateneo Center for Economic Research and Development, argued that failure to close the infrastructure gap may also prevent the country from making the most of its "demographic sweet spot," a period of high labor age population and lower proportion of dependents (2020). It is a generational window of opportunity that should not be missed. Hence, the stakes are high for the government's multi-year "Build, Build, Build" program.

The country's historic high BBB+ credit rating (Lucas 2019) obtained last year is a valuable asset in this infrastructure drive. This investment grade rating was sustained by S&P ("S&P Affirms," 2020) and Moody's ("Rating Action," 2020) despite the onset of COVID-19. This said, even its neighbors Indonesia and Vietnam, which have lower ratings, are ramping up public works spending (Ang 2020). Underscoring its importance to regional countries, work on some priority projects continued amid the

pandemic. With the easing of lockdowns, work on other projects has since resumed – in itself an important driver for economic recovery. China-backed projects in the Philippines, for instance, are already back at 80 percent capacity by early August (Lee-Brago 2020).

#### China's Role: Perils and Promise

China plays an increasingly important role in Philippine infrastructure development. From funding just three flagship projects approved by the National Economic Development Authority-Investment Coordination Committee (NEDA-ICC) in 2017, it increased to 16 by July 2019 – 12 under implementation and four under project development. It is the biggest portfolio increase among all foreign funders. For projects under implementation, two are financed through grants and the rest are loans (see Table 4 for the list of projects).

While China's Belt and Road initiative (BRI) may mean different things to different countries, its stated connectivity goal has clear convergence with the Philippines' "Build, Build, Build." Questions as to whether the country is included or excluded from BRI was already settled when both sides signed a memorandum of understanding (MOU) on Belt and Road Cooperation (Vergara 2018) during the visit of President Xi Jinping to Manila in 2018 and the attendance of President Rodrigo Duterte in the first and second BRI forums in Beijing in 2017 and 2019, respectively. The rest of the ASEAN is also on board this undertaking, signing similar MOUs and dispatching their national leaders to attend the two high-level summits hosted by Beijing. From the Philippines' perspective, China, in relation to infrastructure, possess some advantages. This includes its offer for concessional loans, its ability to rapidly commit large amounts of money, responsiveness

|  | Project<br>Milestone                   | Project<br>Development   | Project<br>Development  | Project<br>Development   | Project<br>Development   |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 2019   | Target End of<br>Implementation        | 2023   | 2026  | TBD  | TBD  |
| ppines as of July  | Target Start of<br>Implementation      | 2020   | 2021  | TBD  | 2020   |
| cts in the Phili   | Total Project<br>Cost<br>(PhP Million) | 22,030.16  | 24,500.00   | 71,631.63  | TBD  |
| dra proje  | Fund<br>Type/<br>Source                | ODA  | ODA   | ODA  | ODA  |
| Table 4. Chinese-backed flagship infra projects in the Philippines as of July 2019 | Project Description                    | Construction of a 10.7-km,<br>2-lane tourism friendship<br>bridge with Tourism Complex<br>Midway, connecting San Andres,<br>Catanduanes and Caramoan<br>Peninsula, Camarines Sur | Construction of 23.3 km expressway within Davao City proper, connecting the Sta. Ana Port near the outskirts of the city proper in Panacan Road and Carlos P. Garcia National Highway | The project will extend MRP line to Buttan by a length of 206.20 kms. The whole alignment, or the Buttan-Nabunturan-Davao Corridor extended to Digos, will have a total of 308.48 kms. | Construction of a 521.52-km railway, completing the loop of the MRP system, i.e., MRP-1. |
| Table  | Project Title                          | Camarines-<br>Catanduanes<br>Friendship<br>Bridge<br>(Nationwide<br>Island<br>Provinces Link<br>Bridges)   | Davao City<br>Expressway<br>Project   | Mindanao<br>Railway<br>Project (MRP<br>Phase 2)  | Mindanao<br>Railway<br>Project<br>(Phase 3)  |
|  | Implementing<br>Agency                 | DPWH   | DPWH  | DOTr   | DOTr   |

| Project<br>Milestone                   | Procurement  | Budgeting<br>/Financing  | Budgeting<br>/Financing  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Target End of<br>Implementation        | 2024   | 2023   | 2023   |
| Target Start of<br>Implementation      | 2020   | 2021   | 2021   |
| Total Project<br>Cost<br>(PhP Million) | 39,219.68  | 1,386.61   | 1,103.34   |
| Fund<br>Type/<br>Source                | China<br>(Loan)  | (Loan)   | China (Loan)   |
| Project Description                    | Construction of various flood management infrastructures such as dikes/reverments and flood gates, and conducting channel dredging among others. | Construction of a 637-m (of which, main bridge is 165 m), two-lane (with 3 m sidewalks), three-span Prestressed Concrete Box Girder Bridge to connect Beata side (Beata Street and Padre Jacinto Zamora Street) and Manalo side (Felix Manalo Street). The proposed bridge will be between Pandacan Bridge and Lambingan Bridge. | Construction of a 364-m (of which, main bridge is 87 m), two-lane Nielsen Arch Bridge to connect Makati side (Antipolo Street) and Mandaluyong side (Coronado Street). The proposed bridge will be between Lambingan Bridge and Makati-Mandaluyong Bridge. |
| Project Title                          | Ambal-Simuay<br>River and<br>Rio Grande<br>de Mindanao<br>River Flood<br>Control<br>Projects   | Beata-F. Y. Manalo Bridge (initially submitted as Pandacan-Sra. Ana Bridge)*   | Blumentritt-<br>Antipolo<br>Bridge*  |
| Implementing<br>Agency                 | DPWH   | DPWH   | DPWH   |

| Project<br>Milestone                   | Budgeting<br>/Financing   | Budgeting<br>/Financing   |
|--|---|---|
| Target End of<br>Implementation        | 2023  | 2023  |
| Target Start of<br>Implementation      | 2020  | 2021  |
| Total Project<br>Cost<br>(PhP Million) | 3,176.25  | 8,029.53  |
| Fund<br>Type/<br>Source                | China   | China (Loan)  |
| Project Description                    | Construction of a 929.8 m (of which, main bridge: 254.5 m), 4-lane (2-lanes per direction with 3m sidewalks), 3-span Steel Lohse Arch Bridge to connect Eastbank Dike Road and Westbank Dike Road in Cainta, Rizal. The proposed bridge will be between Legaspi Bridge and Barkadahan Bridge. | Construction of a 2,026 m (of which, main bridge: 300 m), 4-lane (2-lanes per direction with 3m sidewalks), 3-span Prestressed Concrete Cable Stayed Bridge to connect North Harbor and South Harbor side over Pasig River with approach bridges overcrossing the roads adjacent to both banks at PAROLA and BASECO areas. The proposed bridge is parallel to the Roxas Jr. Bridge. |
| Project Title                          | East-West<br>Bank Bridge<br>2*  | North and<br>South Harbor<br>Bridge<br>(initially<br>submitted<br>as Robinson<br>Bridge)*   |
| Implementing<br>Agency                 | DPWH  | DPWH  |

| Project<br>Milestone                   | Budgering<br>/Financing  | For ICC re-<br>evaluation  | Procurement  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Target End of<br>Implementation        | 2023   | 2023   | 2022   |
| Target Start of<br>Implementation      | 2019   | 2019   | 2019   |
| Total Project<br>Cost<br>(PbP Million) | 1,595.49   | 175,318  | 50,031   |
| Fund<br>Type/<br>Source                | China (Loan)   | China (Loan)   | China<br>(Loan)/<br>GAA  |
| Project Description                    | Construction of a 438.1 m (of which, main bridge is 125 m), two-lane (with 3m sidewalks), two-span Prestressed Concrete Extradosed Bridge to connect Villegas side (A. Villegas Street) and Palanca side (Carlo Palanca Street). The proposed bridge will be between Quezon Bridge and Ayala Bridge. | Construction of a 639-km, which will traverses the following sites: a) Manila; b) Los Baños, Laguna; c) Lucena, Quezon; d) Gumaca, Quezon; e) Naga, Camarines Sur; f) Legaspi, Albay; g) Sorsogon Gity, Sorsogon; h) Matnog, Sorsogon; and i) Batangas City. | Construction of a 71.13-km rail located in the western section of Central Luzon running on an exclusive ROW parallel to SCTEX with some sections adjacent to it. It is divided into two major sections: a) Mainline, wo major sections: a) Mainline, a 64.19-km railway connecting Subic Bay Freeport Zone; and b) Spur Line, a 6.94-km railway connecting Subic Bay Port, New Container Terminal to Mainline. |
| Project Title                          | Palanca-<br>Villegas<br>(2nd Ayala)<br>(initially<br>submitted as<br>Ayala Bridge)*  | PNR South<br>Long-haul<br>(Manila-Bicol)   | Subic-Clark<br>Railway<br>Project  |
| Implementing<br>Agency                 | DPWH   | DOTr   | DOTi/BCDA  |

| Implementing<br>Agency                        | Project Title  | Project Description  | Fund<br>Type/<br>Source  | Total Project<br>Cost<br>(PbP Million)  | Target Start of<br>Implementation   | Target End of<br>Implementation | Project<br>Milestone |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| DPWH  | Estrella-<br>Pantaleon<br>Bridge   | Replacement of existing two-lane bridge with four-lane bridge (143.2 km) and approach connecting Rockwell Center, Makari Ciry and Barangka Ibaba, Mandaluyong Ciry for a total length of 358 km  | China (Grant)  | 1,367.04  | 2018  | 2021                            | Ongoing              |
| MWSS  | New<br>Centennial<br>Water Source-<br>Kaliwa Dam<br>Project  | The New Centennial Water Source-Kaliwa Dam Project is an integrated system that includes the 600 million liters per day (MLD) Kaliwa Dam, its intake and other appurtenant facilities, and a 2,400 MLD capacity raw water conveyance tunnel. | China (Loan)   | 12,200.01   | 2019  | 2023                            | Procurement          |
| *Part of the Pasi                             | g-Marikina and R   | *Part of the Pasig-Marikina and River Manggahan Floodway Bridges Construction Project  | Constructio  | n Project   |   |                                 |                      |
| Legend: • BCDA (Base • DOTr (Depa • MWSS (Met | gend:  • BCDA (Bases Conversion and Developme • DOIr (Department of Transportation) • MWSS (Metropolitan Waterworks and Se • ODA (Overseas Development Assistance) | ment Authority)<br>Sewerage System)<br>ce)   | DPWH (Department of I<br>NIA (National Irrigation .<br>ICC (Investment Coordir<br>TBD (To be determined) | DPWH (Department of Public Works and I NIA (National Irrigation Authority)     ICC (Investment Coordination Committee)     TBD (To be determined) | DPWH (Department of Public Works and Highways)     NIA (National Irrigation Authority)     ICC (Investment Coordination Committee)     TBD (To be determined) | (skı                            |                      |
| Source: National                              | Economic and D   | Source: National Economic and Development Authority.   |  |   |   |                                 |                      |

to timelines, and greater resourcefulness in dealing with external factors affecting project formulation and implementation and edge in terms of technology, equipment and materials, and human resources (Ang 2020).

However, Chinese-backed projects also suffer from criticisms. Some of these are not endemic to China. Large infrastructure projects such as dams impact the environment and ancestral domains of indigenous communities and reclaiming railway routes, especially in urban areas, may necessitate evicting informal settlers. These consequences hold regardless of who is underwriting the project. The challenge is to mitigate the harm to the environment and compensate affected communities while serving the larger purpose of delivering public goods, e.g., water security, faster and more efficient transportation.

But several factors also make Chinese companies uniquely more risk-prone and vulnerable to unsound practices such as poor due diligence and corruption. This includes heavy state backing, weak oversight, and the frenzied rush to bag more projects. The botched 2007 National Broadband Network deal, involving Chinese telecoms firm ZTE, is a case in point ("What Went Before," 2018).1 Beijing has yet to establish a track record of penalizing Chinese enterprises involved in corruption and violations of environmental standards abroad. On the upside, earlier backlash is increasing local labor recruitment and community engagement among Chinese firms (Liu 2020). The United States' sanctions on Chinese telecommunications (e.g., Huawei) and infrastructure (e.g., those involved in building artificial islands in the South China Sea) companies present new challenges for BRI (Swanson 2020). Security concerns also hound Chinese projects. This arises from sectors Chinese investments enter and the proximity of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To see the 2009 Senate Report on the controversial deal, visit http://legacy.senate.gov.ph/lisdata/1293411633!.pdf

some investments to Philippine military facilities. State-owned State Grid Corporation of China holds a 40 percent stake in the country's national grid. State-owned China Telecom is the foreign partner of the country's third and newest telco player, DITO Telecommunity, which recently got the green light to build cell sites within military bases already hosting such facilities (Cruz 2020). Despite calls by some lawmakers to scrap the deal, the Armed Forces of the Philippines sees low risk (Lopez 2020) in allowing DITO to co-locate towers inside army camps – an arrangement already being done with the existing two players and meant to enhance the security of such infrastructure, a prime target of rebel attacks and extortion.

Huawei has long been an equipment supplier to domestic telco providers with the Chinese tech giant backing the rollout of 5G service by the duopoly of Globe (Morales 2019) and Smart (Venzon 2020). Although a probe launched by the Philippine National Police, in coordination with overseas law enforcement agencies, find no evidence of the firm engaging in espionage (Power 2019), apprehension remains. Another Chinese tech firm added in the US entity list ("Addition," 2020), Fiberhome, also partnered with Globe to increase the country's data and fixed wireless broadband capacity ("Globe Inks," 2016).

In terms of transport infrastructure, a Chinese company partnered with a local firm and won a contract to build a new airport (Cordero 2020). But while it can relieve congestion in Manila's Ninoy Aquino International Airport (NAIA), its proximity to a naval and air base in Cavite raised concerns. China will also be funding the construction of a railway that would link Subic and Clark in the main island of Luzon (Cahiles-Magkilat 2020). But while the project will enhance the connectivity of two important economic zones, Subic also hosts a major naval base along with a deep seaport and Clark is also the site of an air base along with an international airport. Chinese investors also expressed interest to acquire the distressed Hanjin shipyard

(Morales and Lema 2019) in Subic and to develop offshore islands in Subic Bay and Fuga (Mangosing 2019), a strategic island between northern Philippines and southern Taiwan.

Because of unresolved territorial and maritime disputes in the West Philippine Sea and threats of espionage, many remain uneasy about Chinese investments. A 2017 National Intelligence Law, which requires Chinese citizens, organizations, and companies to cooperate in the state's intelligence gathering work (Tanner 2017), raised serious concerns about engaging Chinese telco firms and cast doubts over the security of data that passes through Chinese communications gear or networks. Suspicion is particularly rife in the security establishment and some members of Congress and Senate. This led the country to turn down Chinese offers that may risk national security.

For instance, an Australian-American consortium favored by the Philippine Navy – not Chinese companies – are likely to take over the Subic shipyard (Robson 2020). Chinese proposals for Subic's offshore islands were stalled (Sison Jr. 2019) and an agreement with a Fujian-based company for investment promotion lapsed without garnering Chinese investment to develop Fuga (Rosales 2020). In fact, foreign interest in Fuga even led the country to set aside part of the island for the establishment of a future naval base (Mangosing 2020). Some lawmakers also demand a review of other Chinese investments in the country to ensure that the country's national interests are not being compromised (Romero 2018; Fernandez 2019). Even a Chinese stake at the country's power grid acquired way back in 2009 does not escape scrutiny (Domingo 2019).

It is important for the Philippines to strike the balance between courting Chinese capital to serve its infrastructure needs and protecting its security interests. It is not alone in that predicament and growing US-China tensions do not help. Hence, there is value in learning from its neighbors which also share territorial and maritime disputes with China over the South China Sea, but nonetheless continue to welcome Chinese infrastructure investments. Malaysia and Indonesia offer valuable lessons in this regard. Despite US sanctions, Chinese companies continue to do business in the region. This includes Chinese companies which have become targets of a US global boycott campaign like Huawei and, just recently, subsidiaries of infra behemoth China Communications Construction Company, among others.

Whether a stronger US pressure or enticing inducements, especially from an incoming White House administration, can change this openness to Chinese investments remain to be seen. Regardless, the actions taken by its neighbors should help inform Manila how to calibrate its strategy given developments in the contested sea and the growing gulf between the region's two most important partners.

As Chinese investment in the country grows, ensuring that national security is not being jeopardized is critical. Beijing's increasingly assertive posture in disputed maritime spaces and resort to economic statecraft made regional countries cautious of overexposure to China, creating dilemmas in engaging the world's second largest economy. In this regard, it is important to assess the nature of the risk posed by a potential Chinese investment, how grave it is and whether it can be mitigated. A case-by-case evaluation, not a *carte blanche* rejection, is prudent.

For instance, while a national security case can be made for power grid and telecommunications, other projects such as roads, bridges, industrial parks, railways, dams, and ports are deemed safer bets. This is especially so as China had been doing these projects elsewhere in the region even among South China Sea littoral states. In Malaysia, China's portfolio includes a port and industrial park in Kuantan and the East Coast Rail Link project. In Indonesia, notable projects include the Jakarta-Bandung high-speed railway project, dams in Borneo (Kayan River)

and Sumatra (Batang Toru), and industrial parks (e.g., China-Indonesia Economic and Trade Cooperation Zone in greater Jakarta, Morowali in Sulawesi). In Vietnam, China also invested in industrial parks (e.g., Long Jiang Industrial Park in Tien Giang province, Vietnam-China Economic & Trade Cooperation Zone in Haiphong). While concerns have been raised about PLA Navy ships getting access to Chinese-built ports, this matter is likely the subject of separate negotiations to which the country can decline if it thinks it is in its interest to do so.

Even with the telecommunications or power grid (Pitlo 2020), measures can be made to minimize risks. This includes bringing in other foreign investors into a consortium that would build or operate critical infrastructure to dilute Chinese influence. Another option is to get external experts who can competently undertake an impartial information or infra security audit to identify vulnerabilities and recommend remedial measures. Domestic private telco company Globe, for instance, hired British and Israeli consultants who gave a "clean bill of health" to Huawei, greenlighting the company's move to rollout 5G service using Huawei gear (Chua 2019).

The government can also acquire a controlling stake on the investment or allocate shares for the Filipino investing public. Spreading out projects to as many partners gives shape to the saying, "Do not put all your eggs in one basket." For instance, while Indonesia gave the Jakarta-Bandung railway project to China, it awarded the much longer Jakarta-Surabaya railway line to Japan. The country apparently took a similar approach, giving the Philippine National Railways-South project to China while letting Japan build Metro Manila's first subway system (Ramos 2020).

While wary of possible risks associated with some Chinese projects, the country should also recognize the dangers that comes with overly securitizing Chinese investments. China is a major player in the global infrastructure market leveraging enormous

financial, material, and engineering capacities to competitively deliver a broad range of turnkey projects. Despite being a perennial source of irritant in ties, the South China Sea row, in itself, does not constitute an impediment for the region to engage its big northern neighbor in this front.

The heavy involvement of China in the burgeoning regional infrastructure market attests to this. In addition, while the flashpoint represents the region's most pressing security challenge as reflected in numerous ASEAN official communiques and statements, members still attach varying degrees of importance to the issue and harbor different threat perceptions of China. Therefore, they employ different strategies in dealing with the promise and peril of living next to a rising superpower. This holds true even, if not more so, among claimant states.

Aside from security concerns, political risk is another major hurdle for Chinese infrastructure investments in the Philippines. Such risk dampen interest for long-term big-ticket projects as policy swings that comes with leadership changes send jitters to investors. If the new government comes from a rival political camp, projects associated with the previous government are in danger of getting scuttled. This affects investor confidence in the country.

Big projects take time to complete. For instance, most projects completed were conceived as early as the Arroyo administration (Ang 2020). As several of the "Build, Build, Build" projects may not be completed before President Rodrigo Duterte steps down in 2022, policy continuity is crucial in sending the right signals to foreign capital. For the Philippines, there is a need to insulate agreed projects from domestic partisan politics and protect the sanctity of contracts. So long as due diligence was done and relevant laws (e.g., on procurement) were observed, upending contracts, especially if no viable alternatives are in place, should be shunned. Otherwise, it may lead to costly arbitration and

reputational damage for the country, not to mention further delaying the country's connectivity targets.

The North Rail project, which got off track in the transition from the Arroyo to the Aquino administration, is a case in point (Landingin 2013). At the time the deal was signed in 2004, it was China's largest ODA project in the region. Alleged irregularities led to its suspension and eventual cancellation leading to a five-year long case that ended in an amicable settlement only in 2017, sparing the country from further legal fees and damages (Dela Paz 2017). But, more than the financial costs, it dealt a huge setback to the country's efforts to decongest its capital city and better connect with adjacent provinces to the north (Landingin 2012). Sixteen years after ditching the deal, an alternative is still nowhere in sight and commuters along the railway's proposed route continue to struggle in their daily commute.

### Conclusion

In sum, a lot is at stake in the country's "Build, Build, Build" program. As competition heats up to corner more foreign capital exiting China because of the trade war and rising production costs in the mainland, regional states with better infrastructure like are well positioned. To get its fair share of the pie and keep up with its own growing demand, the Philippines has to step up public works spending. Losses due to traffic ("PH Loses P3.5B," 2018) and mounting people's frustration over commuting and poor telco services also feeds into the popular desire to upgrade the country's infrastructure. With demand for communications and internet surging amid the pandemic, President Duterte even echoed such frustration in his fifth State of the Nation Address last July, demanding domestic providers to improve service or face grave consequences (Salaverria 2020).

In its bid to revamp its infrastructure, the Philippines has to

remain open to traditional and new partners, local and foreign, including China. However, security concerns and political risks may hamper bilateral cooperation on this field. In striking the proper balance between meeting its massive infrastructure demand and protecting its national security interests, the country should take a long view and reflect on the experiences and approaches pursued by its neighbors. It must better evaluate investment risks, determine their severity, and ascertain ways to mitigate them. Avoiding or managing such risks may pose challenges, but success can keep the momentum of the country's "Golden Age of Infrastructure."

#### References

- "Addition of Certain Entities to the Entity List; Revision of Existing Entries to the Entity List." *Federal Register*, June 5, 2020.
- Ang, Alvin. "Connecting to East Asia: The Philippines and the Belt and Road Initiative." Presentation given during the webinar on Building Philippines-China Relations for the Future: Current Achievements and Challenges in Philippines-China Relations. Philippine Association for Chinese Studies-Jinan University Webinar Series 2, September 4, 2020.
- Cahiles-Magkilat, Bernie. "Gov't Seeks Bidders for P45-B Subic-Clark Rail Project." *Manila Bulletin*, January 6, 2020.
- Chua Kong Ho. "Huawei Gets Vote of Confidence from Philippines' Globe Telecom, Which Says Security Concerns Somewhat Overblown." *South China Morning Post*, February 12, 2019.
- Cordero, Ted. "Lucio Tan's MacroAsia, China's CCCC Bag P208.5B Sangley Airport Project." *GMA News Online*, February 14, 2020.
- Cruz, RG. "China-backed DITO Allowed to Install Cell Sites inside Military Camps: Lorenzana." *ABS-CBN News*, September 8, 2020.
- Dela Paz, Chrisee. "Ph Gov't Ends Dispute with China's Sinomach Over Northrail Project." *Rappler*, November 6, 2017.
- Domingo, Katrina. "Senate to Probe China's Stake in NGCP Over National Security Concerns." *ABS-CBN News*, November 20, 2019.

- Fernandez, Butch. "Senate Review Sought on Chinese Investments in 3 Strategic Islands." *Business Mirror*, August 9, 2019.
- "Globe Inks \$750M Worth of Deals with Technology Partners in China." *GMA News Online*, October 21, 2016.
- Landingin, Roel. "Chinese Foreign Aid Goes Offtrack in the Philippines." Reality of Aid, 2013.
- Landingin, Roel. "Philippines: China-funded Northrail Project Derailed." *Financial Times*, October 10, 2012.
- Lectura, Lenie. "Average Electricity Price in PHL 2nd Highest in Asia Think Tank." *Business Mirror*, August 7, 2018.
- Lee-Brago, Pia. "Philippines-China Projects Resume at 80% Capacity." *Philippine Star*, August 3, 2020.
- Liu Peng. "The Less Representative Actor, Enterprise and Its Local Employee in BRI: The Case Study of Chinese Enterprise in Philippines." Presentation given during the webinar on Assessing the Belt and Road Initiative Philippines Before and During the Covid-19 Pandemic, 2015-2020. Philippine Association for Chinese Studies, August 22, 2020.
- Lopez, Melissa Luz. "AFP Sees Low Risk for Spying as China-Backed DITO Set to Install Cell Towers in Camps." CNN Philippines, September 11, 2020.
- Lucas, Daxim. "Surging Economy Earns PH Highest Credit Rating in History." Philippine Daily Inquirer, May 1, 2019.
- Mangosing, Frances. "Chinese Investors Eye 3 PH Isles." *Philippine Daily Inquirer*, August 5, 2019.
- Mangosing, Frances. "Duterte Orders Part of Fuga Island Allotted for PH Navy Base." *Philippine Daily Inquirer*, August 10, 2020.
- Mercurio, Richmond. "Philippines Among Slowest in Mobile Internet Speed Worldwide." *Philippine Star*, May 31, 2019.
- Morales, Neil Jerome. "Philippines' Globe Telecoms Launches 5G Service Backed by Huawei Equipment." *Reuters*, June 20, 2019.
- Morales, Neil Jerome and Karen Lema. "Philippines Says Won't Bar Chinese Proposals for Shipyard Takeover." *Reuters*, April 25, 2019.
- "PH Loses P3.5B A Day Due to Metro Manila Traffic JICA." CNN Philippines, February 23, 2018.
- Pitlo III, Lucio. "China in the Philippine Power Grid: Need for Caution not Alarmism." *China-US Focus*, January 17, 2020.

- Power, John. "In Philippines, Police Inquiry Finds No Evidence of Huawei Spying for Beijing." *South China Morning Post*, May 21, 2019.
- PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC). "Understanding Infrastructure Opportunities in ASEAN." *Infrastructure Series Report* 1 (2017). https://www.pwc.com/sg/en/publications/assets/cpi-mas-1-infrastructure-opporuntities-in-asean-201709.pdf
- Ramos, Mariejo S. "Metro Manila Subway Project 'Early Christmas Gift' to Filipinos Tugade." *Philippine Daily Inquirer*, September 5, 2020.
- "Rating Action: Moody's Affirms the Philippines' BAA2 Ratings; Maintains Stable Outlook," *Moody's*, July 16, 2020.
- Robson, Seth. "Australian Shipbuilder Teams with US Firm in Bid to Take Over Subic Shipyard." Stars & Stripes, June 15, 2020.
- Romero, Paolo. "Senate to Review Deals with China." *Philippine Star*, November 22, 2018.
- Rosales, Elijah Felice. "No Chinese Takeover of Fuga Island, CEZA." *Business Mirror*, August 5, 2020.
- "S&P Affirms Philippines' Rating." Business World, May 30, 2020.
- Salaverria, Leila. "Duterte Warns Smart, Globe of Expropriation." *Philippine Daily Inquirer*, July 28, 2020.
- Schwab, Klaus, ed. *The Global Competitiveness Report 2019*. World Economic Forum.
- Sison Jr., Bebot. "SBMA: Projects for Subic Isles Put on Hold." *Philippine Star*, August 11, 2019.
- Swanson, Ana. "U.S. Penalizes 24 Chinese Companies Over Role in South China Sea." *New York Times*, August 26, 2020.
- Tanner, Murray Scot. "Beijing's New National Intelligence Law: From Defense to Offense." *Lawfare*, July 20, 2017.
- Venzon, Cliff. "Top Philippine Telco to Launch 5G Service with Huawei and Ericsson." *Nikkei Asian Review*, July 29, 2020.
- Vergara, Robert. "Look: Malacañang Releases Copy of PH, China MOU on Belt and Road Initiative." *CNN Philippines*, November 27, 2018.
- "What Went Before: NBN-ZTE Deal." *Philippine Daily Inquirer*, January 12, 2018. http://legacy.senate.gov.ph/lisdata/1293411633!.pdf